




Cary

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

July 2022 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Cary, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Cary.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Cary	McHenry County	CMAP Region
Total Population	17,826	310,229	8,577,735
Total Households	6,320	114,282	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.8	2.7	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-2.4	0.5	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	14.8	19.3	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	15,382	85.8	246,788	80.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	1,658	9.2	41,218	13.4	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	41	0.2	4,194	1.4	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	191	1.1	8,234	2.7	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	654	3.6	6,857	2.2	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	1,015	5.7	16,745	5.4	508,895	6.0
5 to 19	3,478	19.4	62,988	20.5	1,624,354	19.2
20 to 34	3,459	19.3	53,008	17.3	1,781,246	21.1
35 to 49	3,405	19.0	61,074	19.9	1,688,609	20.0
50 to 64	4,625	25.8	68,625	22.3	1,625,883	19.2
65 to 74	1,385	7.7	27,654	9.0	713,897	8.4
75 to 84	427	2.4	11,266	3.7	348,205	4.1
85 and Over	132	0.7	5,931	1.9	160,449	1.9
Median Age	39.3		40.2		37.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	336	2.7	14,020	6.7	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	2,109	17.0	54,099	25.8	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	3,007	24.3	48,447	23.1	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	1,049	8.5	18,992	9.1	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	3,970	32.1	49,360	23.6	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,907	15.4	24,365	11.6	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	16,713	93.2	279,824	91.1	6,847,148	81.0
Foreign Born	1,213	6.8	27,467	8.9	1,604,390	19.0

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	15,265	90.3	250,303	86.1	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	1,037	6.1	25,123	8.6	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	267	1.6	4,968	1.7	278,826	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	580	0.2	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	44	0.3	1,444	0.5	71,472	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	337	0.1	62,859	0.8
Korean	93	0.5	343	0.1	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	23	0.1	1,639	0.6	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	182	1.1	5,371	1.8	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	438	0.2	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1,646	9.7	40,243	13.9	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	546	3.2	12,950	4.5	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	1,088	16.8	23,340	20.6	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	2,326	36.0	38,688	34.2	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	1,321	20.5	19,962	17.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,724	26.7	31,279	27.6	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	4,908	76.0	84,557	74.7	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	483	7.5	9,048	8.0	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	1,551	24.0	28,712	25.3	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	397	6.1	11,258	9.9	506,150	16.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	917	14.2	17,467	15.4	548,808	17.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	815	12.6	18,315	16.2	495,919	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	734	11.4	16,661	14.7	401,768	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,798	27.8	25,088	22.1	556,161	17.6
\$150,000 and Over	1,798	27.8	24,480	21.6	644,573	20.4
Median Income	\$105,344		\$90,014		\$76,606	
Per Capita Income*	\$44,717		\$40,545		\$40,601	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	6,386	98.9	108,695	96.0	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	226	3.5	4,189	3.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	73	1.1	4,574	4.0	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	6,254	96.8	107,589	95.0	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	6,231	96.5	106,087	93.7	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	205	3.2	5,680	5.0	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	6,459	98.8	113,269	95.3	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	5,603	86.7	90,779	80.1	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	856	13.3	22,490	19.9	1,129,161	35.8
Vacant Housing Units	76	1.2	5,631	4.7	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	268	4.2	7,545	6.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	366	0.3	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	18	0.3	325	0.3	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	250	3.9	6,854	6.1	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,016	15.8	20,216	18.1	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	107	1.7	2,615	2.3	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	197	3.1	4,553	4.1	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	712	11.1	13,048	11.7	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	815	12.7	18,000	16.1	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	197	3.1	5,410	4.8	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	191	3.0	6,686	6.0	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	427	6.6	5,904	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	4,330	67.4	66,012	59.1	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	2,829	44.0	44,184	39.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	1,039	16.2	16,941	15.2	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	462	7.2	4,887	4.4	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	37	46
Transportation Costs	24	25
TOTAL H+T COSTS	60	71

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Cary.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	5,223	79.9	91,578	77.0	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	923	14.1	12,110	10.2	253,603	7.4
2 Units	68	1.0	2,043	1.7	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	43	0.7	2,830	2.4	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	78	1.2	4,898	4.1	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	116	1.8	1,884	1.6	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	84	1.3	2,708	2.3	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	849	0.7	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	151	2.3	6,629	5.6	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	1,182	18.1	25,934	21.8	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	2,580	39.5	46,928	39.5	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	2,288	35.0	32,518	27.3	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	334	5.1	6,891	5.8	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	7.0		6.6		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1,106	16.9	28,515	24.0	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	4,064	62.2	59,464	50.0	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	927	14.2	21,387	18.0	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	438	6.7	9,534	8.0	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1986		1989		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Cary.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	123	1.9	4,299	3.8	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	1,281	19.8	26,902	23.8	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	3,317	51.4	51,143	45.2	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,738	26.9	30,925	27.3	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	1,051	10.0	13,017	8.1	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	8,528	81.2	130,770	81.2	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	458	4.4	11,231	7.0	321,528	7.7
Transit	299	2.8	3,263	2.0	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	64	0.6	1,402	0.9	153,729	3.7
Other	107	1.0	1,268	0.8	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	9,456	90.0	147,934	91.9	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	29.9		32.0		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Cary	McHenry County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	23,198	23,593	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Cary	McHenry County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	14.9%	1.6%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	14.9%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	85.1%	83.5%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Cary.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Cary		McHenry County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	11,249	78.5	172,188	70.4	4,546,688	67.3
Employed†*	10,761	95.7	164,018	95.3	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	488	4.3	8,138	4.7	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	3,077	21.5	72,299	29.6	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2021

	Cary		McHenry County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	6,015	N/A	77,393	N/A	3,343,257	N/A
Job Change, 2011-21	800	15.3	2,548	3.4	150,831	4.7
Job Change, 2001-21	761	14.5	2,267	3.0	-145,637	-4.2
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	0.93		0.68		1.06	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2021).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Cary Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	1,080	12.1
2. Health Care	938	10.5
3. Education	936	10.5
4. Retail Trade	887	10.0
5. Professional	751	8.4
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	955	10.7
2. Crystal Lake	606	6.8
3. Cary	585	6.6
4. Schaumburg	400	4.5
5. Elgin	290	3.3

Employment in Cary*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	2,046	34.8
2. Health Care	691	11.7
3. Education	437	7.4
4. Construction	428	7.3
5. Accommodation and Food Service	365	6.2
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Cary	585	9.9
2. Crystal Lake	522	8.9
3. Lake in the Hills	232	3.9
4. McHenry	220	3.7
5. Algonquin	211	3.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Cary.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,554.5	38.5
Multi-Family Residential	10.9	0.3
Commercial	123.9	3.1
Industrial	255.9	6.3
Institutional	300.9	7.5
Mixed Use	3.0	0.1
Transportation and Other	740.0	18.3
Agricultural	95.2	2.4
Open Space	780.7	19.3
Vacant	170.7	4.2
TOTAL	4,035.6	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Cary	McHenry County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	10.33	11.39	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Cary	McHenry County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	0.5%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	38.6%	22.1%	24.8%
Low Walkability	61.4%	77.3%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Tax Base

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Cary.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2021

	Cary	McHenry County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$93,923,924	\$3,230,699,832	\$93,569,186,184
Total Retail Sales	\$146,730,120	\$4,182,112,312	\$122,031,374,715
Total Sales per Capita*	\$8,185	\$13,610	\$14,439

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2020

Residential	\$456,297,126
Commercial	\$36,644,294
Industrial	\$44,535,362
Railroad	\$964,322
Farm	\$449,150
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$538,890,254

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2020.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	92.1	88.0	85.8
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	5.4	8.6	9.2
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0.4	0.3	0.2
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	1.4	2.0	1.1
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.7	1.1	3.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
19 and Under	37.1	35.2	25.1
20 to 34	14.6	15.7	19.3
35 to 49	31.0	27.4	19.0
50 to 64	11.1	16.6	25.8
65 and Over	6.1	5.1	10.8
Median Age	33.9	34.1	39.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	6.0	5.4	2.7
High School Diploma or Equivalent	19.9	18.3	17.0
Some College, No Degree	26.0	19.9	24.3
Associate's Degree	7.2	6.5	8.5
Bachelor's Degree	28.0	33.6	32.1
Graduate or Professional Degree	12.8	16.3	15.4

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	89.9	93.2
Foreign Born	10.1	6.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population
*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	84.8	90.3
Spanish	8.1	6.1
Slavic Languages	3.0	1.6
Chinese	0.2	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.3
Arabic	0.1	0.0
Korean	0.4	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0.7	0.1
Other Indo-European Languages	2.4	1.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.2	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	15.2	9.7
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	6.6	3.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
1-Person Household	13.4	16.8
2-Person Household	25.7	36.0
3-Person Household	18.3	20.5
4-or-More-Person Household	42.6	26.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Family	82.6	76.0
Single Parent with Child	7.3	7.5
Non-Family	17.4	24.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2020 Dollars)	2016-2020 (2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$115,004	\$105,344

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	98.5	97.1	98.8
Owner-Occupied*	90.6	90.1	86.7
Renter-Occupied*	9.4	9.9	13.3
Vacant Housing Units	1.5	2.9	1.2

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than \$20,000	3.2	4.2
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	0.0	0.3
30 Percent or More	3.2	3.9
\$20,000 to \$49,999	16.2	15.8
Less than 20 Percent	2.1	1.7
20 to 29 Percent	1.9	3.1
30 Percent or More	12.1	11.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11.9	12.7
Less than 20 Percent	1.9	3.1
20 to 29 Percent	1.9	3.0
30 Percent or More	8.1	6.6
\$75,000 or More	67.2	67.4
Less than 20 Percent	34.0	44.0
20 to 29 Percent	21.8	16.2
30 Percent or More	11.4	7.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Single Family, Detached	77.1	79.9
Single Family, Attached	14.8	14.1
2 Units	2.3	1.0
3 or 4 Units	1.9	0.7
5 or More Units	3.5	4.3
Mobile Home/Other*	0.4	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	3.4	2.3
2 Bedrooms	11.6	18.1
3 Bedrooms	37.9	39.5
4 Bedrooms	39.1	35.0
5 or More Bedrooms	8.0	5.1
Median Number of Rooms*	7.5	7.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	18.9	16.9
Built 1970 to 1999	62.0	62.2
Built 1940 to 1969	14.1	14.2
Built Before 1940	5.0	6.7
Median Year Built	1988	1986

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
No Vehicle Available	0.9	1.9
1 Vehicle Available	20.8	19.8
2 Vehicles Available	50.3	51.4
3 or More Vehicles Available	28.0	26.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Work at Home*	7.6	10.0
Drive Alone	76.3	81.2
Carpool	7.4	4.4
Transit	5.4	2.8
Walk or Bike	2.6	0.6
Other	0.7	1.0
TOTAL COMMUTERS	92.4	90.0
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	36.4	29.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
In Labor Force	77.4	78.5
Employed ^{†*}	93.9	95.7
Unemployed*	6.1	4.3
Not in Labor Force	22.6	21.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Cary*

Primary Water Source: Shallow Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	1.88	1.57	-16.6
Residential Sector	1.48	1.23	-16.6
Non-Residential Sector	0.40	0.34	-16.6

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

	Cary			CMAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	87.7	68.5	-21.9	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014 (2021 Dollars)	2020 (2021 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$21.80	\$27.32	25.3	3.8
Sewer	\$17.86	\$22.82	27.8	4.2
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

**Monthly water bills are calculated as: $monthly\ base\ charge + ((5,000\ gallons - water\ provision\ included\ in\ base\ charge) \times \$/1,000\ gallons)$. Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: N/A

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Cary	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	56.0%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016-2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	89.5%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016-2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	72.1%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	40.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.22	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	68.5	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$277.74	\$324.17*	N/A**	CMAP, 2020
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 78% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2020
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	16.2%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	11.5%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	17.8%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016-2020

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row. *[[Some indicator targets have been modified as part of the ON TO 2050 Plan Update process. Formal adoption of updated targets is expected in October 2022.]]